SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	**2013_AJEA_3460**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Wheat Culture Water Needs Diagnosis Carried in the Sidi Bel Abbes Semi Arid Valley**

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:
(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. English should be revived by a original english speaker. 2. Abstract should show the main results. **3.** For the introduction, the significance should be point out and the corresponding studies. 4. For Materials & methods, it is confusion. There should show the measurements, calculations and so on. 5. For results and discussion, there only was the simple list for the data and lack of the further analysis. Meanwhile, there wasn't statistical analysis. 6. For the conclusion, although it supported by the data, which included too much paragraph and required the discussions. 7. Authors should cite more other suitable current references especially international references.	Fixed. Fixed. Fixed. Fixed. Analyses and discussion have been put. We didn't perform statistical computations because this would have cluttered the text more. It seemed to us necessary to support our conclusion by those results. Yes, to improve the scientific quality of our paper we have added some international references.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		